

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vinginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/689,565 10/12/2000 A. Tanju Erdem 89589.113000 8282 7590 08/04/2003 Thomas R FitzGerald Esq. **EXAMINER** Jaeckle Fleischmann & Mugel LLP AZARIAN, SEYED H 39 State Street Rochester, NY 14614-1310 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

> 2625 DATE MAILED: 08/04/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	09/689,565	ERDEM, A. TANJU
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Seyed Azarian	2625
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM		
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>12 October 2000</u> .		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 11-60 is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-60</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>12 October 2000</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.		
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.		
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No		
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 		
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).		
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 		
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	5) Notice of I	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Office	Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 6

Art Unit: 2625

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 11-22 and 27-60, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Maurer et al (U.S. patent 6,272,231) in view of Singh (U.S. patent 6,204,860).

Regarding claim 11, Maurer et al discloses a wavelet-based facial motion capture for avatar animation comprising:

Determining the calibration parameter of a camera (column 11, lines 63-67, camera parameter are computed through a calibration process).

Marking salient features of an object with markers for motion tracking (column 5, lines 29-46, a collection of facial images is marked with node location at defined position of head, this matching process is called elastic bunch graph matching such as persons of different ages or races).

Acquiring a plurality of initial 2-D images of the object (column 6, line 66 through column 7, line 14, refer to 2D vector).

Art Unit: 2625

Calculating 3-D locations of the salient features of the object in accordance with the calibration parameter of the camera; receiving a chronologically ordered sequence of 2-D images of the object (column 10, lines 51-67, a 3D bunch graph is created which is analogous to the 2D approach. Projections of the 3D graph are then used in the matching process).

However Maurer et al fails to disclose "salient". On the other hand Singh in the same field of tracking objects teaches column 13, line 59 through column 14, line 4, it allows the animator intuitive control over many salient visual features of wrinkle formation and propagation.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made, to modify Maurer et al invention according to the teaching of Singh because it provides a coarse-scale representation of the object surface and a primitive that can be directly manipulated while highlighting and tracking the deformable features of the object to achieves accuracy and image quality.

Regarding claim 12, Maurer et al discloses the method of, further comprising the steps of: locking onto the salient features; and detecting loss of lock and hence the need for re-locking onto the salient features (column 9, lines 7-20, detecting certain geometrical constrains and a model containing the precise shape information of the tracked face).

Regarding claim 13, Maurer et al discloses the method of wherein the step of tracking comprises the steps of: determining a surface normal for each salient features; tracking the 3-D global motion f the face in each image; and tracking the 3-D local motion of t e face in each image (column 12, lines 3-6 and lines 24-27, generating of 3-D model).

Art Unit: 2625

Regarding claim 14, Maurer et al discloses the method of comprising the further step of repeating the locking and tracking steps after the detecting step (column 7, lines 7-21, process is repeat for all Gauss levels until the finest resolution level is reached).

Regarding claim 15, Maurer et al discloses the method, wherein the step of selecting comprises recognizing salient facial features and the step of tracking comprises tracking the motion of the salient facial features (column 5, lines 29-46, a collection of facial images is marked with node location at defined position of head, this matching process is called elastic bunch graph matching such as persons of different ages or races.

Regarding claim 16, Maurer et al discloses the method, wherein the step of selecting comprises fixing markers to the face and the step of tracking comprises tracking the motion of the markers (column 3, lines 12-29, provided the facial sensing process which locates the person's face and corresponding facial features).

Regarding claim 17, Maurer et al discloses the method, wherein a first set of markers identifies global motion and a second set of markers identifies local motion of the face (column 7, lines 42-51, refer to second computation).

Regarding claim 18, Maurer et al discloses the method wherein the markers comprise at least two color (Fig. 17, column 14, lines 8-21, dynamic texture generation refer to color).

Regarding claim 19, Maurer et al discloses the method, wherein the two colors are contrasting (column 14, lines 9-25, Fig. 17, refer to black and white).

Regarding claim 23, Maurer et al discloses the method, wherein the step of selecting comprises wearing a head-set with markers (column 12, lines 3-7, where markers are used).

Art Unit: 2625

Regarding claim 27, Maurer et al discloses the method, wherein the acquired 2-D images include at least two views of the face with markers in a neutral state at different orientations (column 10, lines 31-50, refer to two poses and different graphs).

Regarding claim 28, Maurer et al discloses the method, wherein the two views are orthogonal (Fig. 6, item 62, column 4, lines 61-67 refer to orthogonal).

Regarding claim 29, Maurer et al discloses the method, wherein the acquired 2-D images comprise front, forehead chin, angled-right, angled-right-tilted-up, angled-right-tilted-down, angled-left, angled-left-tilted-up, angled-left-tilted-down, full-right-profile, full-right-profile tilted-up, full-right-profile-tilted-down, full-left-profile, full-left-profile-tilted-up, and full-left-profile-tilted-down views of the face with markers in the neutral state (column 3, line 54 through column 4, line 8, the facial feature of the model graph in the upper left hand and left to right used to locate a similarity and column 12, lines 28-34, refer to eyes, nose, mouth, and chin).

Regarding claim 30, Maurer et al discloses the method, wherein the acquired 2-D images comprise front, forehead, chin, full-right-profile, and full-left-profile views of the face with markers in the neutral state (column 12, lines 28-34, facial contour).

Regarding claim 31, Maurer et al discloses the method of claim 1 wherein he acquired 2-D images include a plurality of views of the face with markers in at lea one action state (column 6, line 66 through column 7, line 14, refer to 2D vector).

Regarding claim 32, Maurer et al discloses the method, wherein the action states of the face comprise smiling lips, kissing lips, yawning lips, raised eyebrows, and squeezed eyebrows (column 5, lines 9-27, refer to eyebrow and lip).

Art Unit: 2625

Regarding claim 33, Maurer et al discloses the method, wherein the acquired 2-D images of the face in an action state include at least two views at different orientations (column 5, lines 30-46, different facial image).

Page 6

Regarding claim 34, Maurer et al discloses the method, wherein the two views are front and angled-right (column 3, line 54 through column 4, line 8, the facial feature of the model graph in the upper left hand and left to right used to locate a similarity and column 12, lines 28-34, refer to eyes, nose, mouth, and chin).

Regarding claim 35, Maurer et al discloses the method, wherein the step of selecting comprises fixing markers to the face and the step of calculating comprises calculating the 3-D locations of the markers placed on the face (column 13, lines 9-18, 3-D morphing and geometric transformation).

Regarding claim 38, Maurer et al discloses the method, wherein the step of calculating the 3-D locations of the local markers in each action state comprises the steps of estimating the orientation and position of the face in each 2-D image of the action state to conform to the 3-D and 2-D locations of the global markers under a perspective projection model; and calculating the 3-D locations of the local markers to conform to the estimated orientation and position of the face and the 2-D locations of the local markers under a perspective projection model (column 6, line 66 through column 7, line 14, refer to 2D vector and column 10, lines 51-67, a 3D bunch graph is created which is analogous to the 2D approach. Projections of the 3D graph are then used in the matching process).

Art Unit: 2625

Regarding claim 41, Maurer et al discloses the method, wherein detecting the global markers comprises: determining visibility indices of global markers designing correlation filters for the global markers detecting the global markers by applying elliptical correlation filters in a neighborhood of the global markers; and eliminating superfluous and multiple detected locations (column 8, lines 23-41, refer to generating the filter).

Regarding claim 42, Maurer et al discloses the method, wherein the step of estimating comprises calculating the position and orientation of the face to conform to the 3-D locations and the detected locations of the global markers under a perspective projection model (column 7, lines 1-20, comparing and calculation).

Regarding claim 49, Maurer et al discloses the method, wherein the step of calculating an action vector comprises the steps of calculating the difference between the 2-D locations of the local markers detected in an image and the 2-D locations of the same markers corresponding to the neutral face modifying the difference to conform to the orthographic projection calculating the 3-D displacements of the local markers with respect to their location in the neutral face; and calculating the amount of facial actions conforming to the 3-D displacements of the local markers (column 6, line 66 through column 7, line 14, refer to 2D vector and column 10, lines 51-67, a 3D bunch graph is created which is analogous to the 2D approach. Projections of the 3D graph are then used in the matching process).

Regarding claim 50, Maurer et al discloses the method, wherein the step of calculating an action vector comprises the steps of calculating the 2-D locations of the local markers corresponding to the neutral face using the global motion found for the current image;

Art Unit: 2625

calculating the 2-D locations of the local markers corresponding to the action faces using the global motion found for the current image; calculating the distance between the detected locations, the distance between the neutral locations, and the distance between the action locations of the markers at the right and left corners of the lips (Fig. 6, column 5, lines 5-35, refer to different location of face).

Regarding claim 57, Maurer et al discloses the method, wherein the step of estimating local motion comprise calculating a vector of weights representing fractions of maximum actions of the object conforming to the 3-D locations of local markers in the neutral and action states and the detected 2-D locations of the local markers under a perspective projection model (column 1, lines 43-56, refer to maximum similarity and location of model).

Regarding claims 20-22, recite similar limitation as claims 18 and 19 and are similarly analyzed.

Regarding claims 36-37 and 39, recite similar limitation as claims 11, 35 and 38 are similarly analyzed.

Regarding claims 40 and 43-45, recite similar limitation as claims 29 and 38 are similarly analyzed.

Regarding claims 46-48 and 51-56, recite similar limitation as claims 11 and 35are similarly analyzed.

Regarding claims 58-60, recite similar limitation as claim 11is similarly analyzed.

3. Claims 23-26, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over as applied to claims above, and further in view of Ferre et al (U.S.6,175,756).

Application/Control Number: 09/689,565 Page 9

Art Unit: 2625

Regarding claim 23, Maurer et al and Singh are silent about "headset". On the other hand Ferre et al teaches (column 14, lines 27-28, comprising markers coupled to the headset for providing reference points on computer image.

Therefore it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at time the invention was made, to modify Maurer and Singh invention according to the teachings of Ferre et al because it provides plurality of prerecorded images of the first coordinate system, and identifies a desired and improve prerecorded image associated with the position of the remote unit.

Regarding claims 24-26, recite similar limitation as claim 23 and is similarly analyzed.

Other prior art cited

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

U.S. patent (6,052,132) to Christian et al is cited for technique for providing a computer generated face having coordinated eye and head movment.

keyboard with flexible display and prompt capability.

U.S. patent (5,805,745) to Graf is cited for method for locating a subject's lips in a facial image.

U.S. patent (6,009,210) to Kang is cited for hand-free interface to a virtual reality environment using head tracking.

U.S. patent (6,016,148) to Kang et al is cited for automated mapping of facial images to animation wireframes topologies.

U.S. patent (6,028,960) to Graf et al is cited for face feature analysis for automatic lipreading and character animation.

Page 10

Contact Information

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Seyed Azarian whose telephone number is (703) 306-5907.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 6:00 a.m. to 7:30

p.m. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Bhavesh Mehta, can be reached at (703) 308-5246.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Assistant Commissioner for Patents

Washington, D.C. 20231

Or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314, ("draft" or "informal" communications should be clearly labeled

to expedite delivery to examiner).

Hand delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive,

Arlington, VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to

T.C. customer service office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Seved Azarian Patent Examiner

Group Art Unit 2625

Jayanti K. Pa